

## Literature November

### Ethnomethodology and education

- Hester, S., & Francis, D. (2000). Ethnomethodology and local educational order. In S. Hester & D. Francis (Eds.), Local educational order: ethnomethodological studies of knowledge in action (pp. 1-17). Amsterdam: Johan Benjamins.
- Koschmann, T., Stahl, G., & Zemel, A. (2007). The video analyst's manifesto (or the implications of Garfinkel's policies for the development of a program of video analytic research within the learning sciences). In R. Goldman, B. Barron, S. Derry & R. Pea (Eds.), Video Research in the Learning Sciences. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Lindwall, O., & Lymer, G. (2005). Vulgar competence, ethnomethodological indifference and curricular design. In T. Koschmann, D. D. Suthers & T.-W. Chan (Eds.), Computer support for collaborative learning: The next 10 years. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Watson, D. R. (1992). Ethnomethodology, conversation analysis and education: An overview. *International Review of Education*, 38(3), 257-274.

### Situated learning and socio-cultural theory

- Lave, J. (1990). The culture of acquisition and the practice of understanding. In J. W. Stigler, R. A. Shweder & G. Herdt (Eds.), Cultural psychology: Essays on comparative human development (pp. 309-327). Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.
- Marton, F. (2006). Sameness and difference in transfer. *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 15(4), 501-537.
- Rogoff, B. (1995). Observing sociocultural activity on three planes: Participatory appropriation, guided participation and apprenticeship. In J. V. Wertsch, P. d. Rio & A. Alvarez (Eds.), Sociocultural Studies of the Mind (pp. 139-164). Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.
- Sfard, A. (1998). Two metaphors for learning and the danger of just choosing one. *Educational Researcher*, 27(2), 4-13.

### Interaction analysis

- Antaki, C., Billig, M., Edwards, D., & Potter, J. (2003). Discourse analysis means doing analysis: A critique of six analytic shortcomings. *Discourse Analysis Online*, 1(1), 1-9.
- Hall, R. (2000). Video recording as theory. In D. Lesh & A. E. Kelly (Eds.), Handbook of research design in mathematics and science education (pp. 647-664). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Heath, C., & Luff, P. (1992). Explicating face to face interaction. In N. Gilbert (Ed.), Researching Social Life (pp. 306-327). London: Sage.
- Jordan, B., & Henderson, A. (1995). Interaction analysis: Foundations and practice. *The Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 4, 39-103.

## Literature December

### Examples from NAIL/LinCS

- Eklund, A.-C., Mäkitalo, Å., & Säljö, R. (2007, manuscript). Achieving continuity: Learning and knowing in IT-support practices. In S. Ludvigsen, A. Lund, I. Rasmussen & R. Säljö (Eds.), Learning across sites: Tools, infrastructures and

practices

Ivarsson, J. (2003). Kids in Zen: Computer-supported learning environments and illusory intersubjectivity. *Education, Communication & Information*, 3(1), 383-402.

Rystedt, H., & Lindwall, O. (2004). The interactive construction of learning foci in simulation-based learning environments: A case study of an anaesthesia course. *Psychology*, 1(5).

Various approaches

Cromdal, J. (2005). Bilingual order in collaborative word processing: On creating an English text in Swedish. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 37, 329-353.

Goodwin, C. (2000). Action and embodiment within situated human interaction. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 32(10), 1489-1522.

Kumpulainen, K., & Mutanen, M. (1999). The situated dynamics of peer group interaction: an introduction to an analytic framework. *Learning and Instruction*, 9, 449-473.

Lynch, M., & Macbeth, D. (1998). Demonstrating physics lessons. In J. G. Greeno & S. Goldman (Eds.), *Thinking practices* (pp. 269-297). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Special issue of the Journal of the Learning sciences

Cobb, P. (2002). Reasoning with tools and inscriptions. *The Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 11(2&3), 187-215.

McClain, K. (2002). Appendix: The Object and the Context: What Our Data Are and Where They Come From. *The Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 11(2&3), 163-185.

Sfard, A. (2002). The interplay of intimations and implementations: Generating new discourse with new symbolic tools. *The Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 11(2&3), 319-357.